

Ukraine war: Multiple expl



FAIRE SANS DIRE

The website FaireSansDire.org has been frozen in time as of 1 January 2018 because Faire Sans Dire no longer needs a website. FaireSansDire.org as part of The Burlington Files website is because of the historical importance of Faire Sans Dire within Privacy Policy & Legal Notice on this website was superseded by the [Legal Stuff](#) on The Burlington Files website which, via TheBurlingtonFiles.org [News Section](#) for more details. More background information is also available from [this Wiki link](#).

DO YOU RELY ON OUTDATED AGREEMENTS? NATO

The very term NATO must at best be seen as an anachronism and at worst be considered a joke in Moscow. The (Washington) Treaty (as amended) are out of date. The Treaty needs updating so that it is clear what an

Pursuant to a [recent interview with the Times Newspaper](#), President Ilves (Estonia's President) seemed to be going out courtesy of a cyber-attack on Estonia, Latvia or Lithuania. There are no doubt other politician land.

Indeed, there are hundreds of millions of deluded citizens who assume that they are protected by NATO and their dependent territories which include most of the globe's tax havens: Albania, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Turkey, the UK and the USA.


The "current" definition of an "armed attack" includes an attack "on the forces, vessels, or aircraft of an armed force, or on the land, air, or sea forces of any of the Parties were stationed on the date when the Treaty entered into force, or on the land, air, or sea forces of any of the Parties were stationed on the date when the Treaty entered into force, or whether or not an attack fell within that definition let alone whether an attack was an "armed attack" which

No doubt some savvy overpaid bureaucrat in NATO has argued that keeping the definition of an attack is outdated. If so, it was probably he or she who wrote [NATO's plans](#) for dealing with cyber attacks. They are about as clear as a misty night.

What a bunch of banana republics NATO's members must be? Is there anyone else who believes that NATO will prevent an attack on any one NATO member country? If so, or even if not, it begs the question why that anachronistic NATO, USA and Russia speed up Armageddon once the Second Cold War gets really hot.

Incidentally, if you think the stark reality of your government's reliance on an out of date agreement is a agreements do you rely on? For you or your family it might be a will written a few years ago or that hor For you and your work colleagues it could be an aged business agreement or even your own employemei better?

This article was first published on 3rd April 2015.

You may contact us [here](#), via Twitter [@FaireSansDire](#) or on [LinkedIn](#). 

[Privacy Policy & Legal Notice](#) Copyright © Faire Sans Dire Limited 2016 — All Rights Reserved.

Faire Sans Dire Limited is a company incorporated in England and Wales (Registered Number 06552657) whose registered office is at 13 Park Hill Road W: